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• The Software Crisis
• What is Agility?
• ROI & Time to Market
• Quality
• Risk
• When Does Agility Fit and When Does it Not?
• Agile Myths
• Panel Discussion
The CHAOS Chronicles

Software Project Success – 1994, 2006

Software Crisis
2/3 of projects fail to meet business goals

2006
- 19% Failed
- 46% Challenged
- 35% Successful

1994
- 31.1% Failed
- 52.7% Challenged
- 16.2% Successful

“The CHAOS Chronicles” 1994, 2006 The Standish Group
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CHAOS Report - Top 10 Success Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Agile Impact*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  User Involvement</td>
<td>★★★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  Executive Management</td>
<td>★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  Clear Business Objectives</td>
<td>★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  Optimizing Scope</td>
<td>★★★★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  Agile Process</td>
<td>★★★★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  Project Management Expertise</td>
<td>★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  Financial Management</td>
<td>★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  Skilled Resources</td>
<td>★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9  Formal Methodology</td>
<td>★★★★★</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Standard Tools and Infrastructure</td>
<td>★★★★</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Success Factors from “The CHAOS Chronicles” 2006 The Standish Group  * Agile Impact from Declan Whelan
Jim Johnson on Agility

I'm a big believer in Agile, having introduced iterative process in the early 90s ...we're a real flag waver for small projects, small teams, Agile process.

A big problem was project bloat, causing projects to go over time, over budget, and creating features and functions not required ... Agile really helps this - small increments.

Companies like Webex, Google, Amazon, eBay - they're doing something called "pipelining" instead of releases. "Whatever is done in 2 weeks, we'll put that up." They're successful because users only get small, incremental changes.

Jim Johnson
Chairman, Standish Group
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Agile Approach

Iteration 1
- Requirements
- Analysis & Design
- Code
- Test

Iteration 2
- Requirements
- Analysis & Design
- Code
- Test

Iteration 3
- Requirements
- Analysis & Design
- Code
- Test

Iteration 4
- Requirements
- Analysis & Design
- Code
- Test
- Deploy

Cost of Change

Time
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## Two Responses to Software Crisis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional Plan Driven</th>
<th>Agile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements, Design, Develop, Test, Deploy <strong>once</strong></td>
<td>Iterative delivery with all S/W phases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make decisions <strong>early</strong></td>
<td>Defer decisions to last responsible moment - JIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project gates and hand-offs of documents</td>
<td>Iterations with working software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adhere to plan and carefully control change</td>
<td><strong>Embrace change</strong> and use it to competitive advantage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation for control &amp; review</td>
<td><strong>Face-to-face communications</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specialists</strong></td>
<td>Cross-functional <strong>teams</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Main Agile Benefits

Specific improvements you have actually realized from implementing Agile Practices.

- Increased Productivity: 90%
- Reduced Defects: 85%
- Accelerated Time-to-Market: 83%
- Reduced Cost: 66%
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What is Agility?

“Practices that focus on team communication and feedback to regularly deliver customer value through working software.”
Agile Values

- Individuals & Interactions
- Processes & Tools
- Customer Collaboration
- Contract Negotiation
- Working Software
- Comprehensive Documentation
- Responding to Change
- Following a Plan

http://agilemanifesto.org/
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Our highest priority is to satisfy the customer through early and continuous delivery of valuable software.

Welcome changing requirements, even late in development. Agile processes harness change for the customer's competitive advantage.

Deliver working software frequently, from a couple of weeks to a couple of months, with a preference to the shorter timescale.

Business people and developers must work together daily throughout the project.

Build projects around motivated individuals. Give them the environment and support they need, and trust them to get the job done.

The most efficient and effective method of conveying information to and within a development team is face-to-face conversation.

Working software is the primary measure of progress.

Agile processes promote sustainable development. The sponsors, developers, and users should be able to maintain a constant pace indefinitely.

Continuous attention to technical excellence and good design enhances agility.

Simplicity - the art of maximizing the amount of work not done - is essential

The best architectures, requirements, and designs emerge from self-organizing teams.

At regular intervals, the team reflects on how to become more effective, then tunes and adjusts its behavior accordingly.

http://agilemanifesto.org/principles.html
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Agile Practices – They Aren’t New!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Introduced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>00’s Beginning of time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pairs Programming</td>
<td>50’s von Neumann/IBM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test-Driven Design</td>
<td>60’s NASA Project Mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Planning</td>
<td>60’s NASA Project Mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management</td>
<td>60’s NASA Project Mercury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Reuse</td>
<td>60’s AT&amp;T McIlroy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Architecture</td>
<td>60’s Brooks/Dijkstra/Parnas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Hiding &amp; Abstraction</td>
<td>70’s Parnas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Design</td>
<td>70’s Basili/Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Integration</td>
<td>70’s FSC Integration Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation</td>
<td>70’s Parnas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective Ownership</td>
<td>70’s Unix/Open Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Releases</td>
<td>70’s Basili/Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding Standards</td>
<td>70’s Kernighan/Plauger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-site Customer</td>
<td>70’s Mills/IBM FSD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software Metrics</td>
<td>70’s Gilb/Halstead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolutionary Design</td>
<td>80’s Gilb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patterns</td>
<td>80’s DeMarco/Lister/GoF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peopleware/Sustainable Pace</td>
<td>80’s DeMarco/Lister</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refactoring</td>
<td>90’s Opdyke and Fowler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metaphor</td>
<td>90’s Beck/Fowler/Cunningham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospectives</td>
<td>90’s Kerth/Rising</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Software Best-Practices: Agile Deconstructed - Steven Fraser OOPSLA 2007
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Agile Methodology Adoption

37.3% Scrum
23.3% Scrum/XP
12.0% XP
9.2% Custom
5.1% DSDM
3.8% FDD
3.6% Other
2.6% Lean
2.3% AgileUP
0.8% OpenUP
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# Scrum Team

## Product Owner
- Feature definition
- Release dates
- Single decision point
- Accepts or rejects work
- ROI

## ScrumMaster
- Represents management
- Removes obstacles
- Ensures Scrum process
- Servant leader

## Team
- Self organizing
- Cross-functional
- Estimates
- Tracks
- Gets ‘er done
Product Backlog

• Master list of all “features”
• High priority features are split into “stories” achievable within an iteration.
• Each “story” is prioritized and scoped.
Sprint Planning Meeting

• Highest priority stories are reviewed.
• Team selects stories
• Team breaks stories down into tasks & re-estimates.
• Team commits to next iteration’s deliverables.
Sprint Backlog
Daily Scrum

• Each team member describes:
  – What they did
  – What they plan to do
  – Obstacles

• ScrumMaster tracks and resolves obstacles

• 10 – 15 minutes
Sprint Demo

• Team demonstrates working software to product owner
• Product owner accepts or rejects completed work
• Result should be potentially shippable
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Sprint Retrospective

• Team meets to review:
  – What is working?
  – What is not working?
• Team adds tasks for immediate actions for working better
Informative Collaborative Workspace
Product Life Cycle

Development
Introduction
Growth
Maturity
Decline

Sales

Time

Traditional
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Product Life Cycle

Sales

Development

Introduction

Growth

Maturity

Decline

Additional Sales

Time

Traditional

Agile
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An Example Project

• Expected revenue of $300K/month
• Development costs $100K/month
• Release costs $100K/release
• Estimated at 12 months effort
• Looking at 4 year project window

Adapted from “Incremental Releases Users & Stakeholders will Love” OOPSLA 2007
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Traditional Approach

IRR: 11.32%
Breakeven: 16 months
Investment: $1,300K

Adapted from “Incremental Releases Users & Stakeholders will Love” OOPSLA 2007
What if We Release More Often?

- Not all features generate the same value:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Set</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highest Value 25%</td>
<td>$120K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next Highest Value 25%</td>
<td>$80K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next Highest Value 25%</td>
<td>$60K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest Value 25%</td>
<td>$40K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from “Incremental Releases Users & Stakeholders will Love” OOPSLA 2007
Agile – Two Releases

IRR: 13.87%
Breakeven: 14 months
Investment: $1,000K

Adapted from “Incremental Releases Users & Stakeholders will Love” OOPSLA 2007
Agile - 4 Releases

IRR: 19.79%
Breakeven: 10 months
Investment: $440K

Adapted from “Incremental Releases Users & Stakeholders will Love” OOPSLA 2007
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Agile – Drop Last Release

IRR: 21.64%
Breakeven: 9 months
Investment: $440K

Increased Revenue

Reduced Break-even Time

Reduced Time to Market

Reduced Investment

Adapted from "Incremental Releases Users & Stakeholders will Love" OOPSLA 2007
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## ROI & Time-To-Market Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Agile</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time to Market</strong>*</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Break Even</strong></td>
<td>16 months</td>
<td>9 months</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Investment</strong></td>
<td>$1,300K</td>
<td>$440K</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$9,500K</td>
<td>$11,430</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IRR</strong></td>
<td>11.32%</td>
<td>21.64%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from "Incremental Releases Users & Stakeholders will Love" OOPSLA 2007
Agile ROI – Other Factors

- Cost reduction delivering only what is really needed
- Faster to market could drive additional sales
- Customer feedback should improve product fit driving additional sales
- If there is a fixed market window agile development can help hit the window
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# Agile Quality – Acceptance Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agile Practice</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements specified using acceptance tests</td>
<td>• Eliminates mismatch between requirements and test cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance tests written by product owner with team support</td>
<td>• Common understanding of functionality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Helps flush out requirement inconsistencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Encourages alternate approaches early</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Customer driven” acceptance tests</td>
<td>• Connects features to customer value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Using customer/business terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated acceptance tests</td>
<td>• Previous functionality is retained – keeps product notching forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Focuses development on business value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduces scope creep - the best way to write defect-free code is to not write it at all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Test Driven Development

Red

Refactor

Green
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# Agile Quality – A Team Deliverable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agile Practice</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole Team</td>
<td>• Quality is not just a QA responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• QA role shifts to quality infusion throughout project life cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• QA is more than just testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Integration</td>
<td>• Developers cannot check in code with failing tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Testing</td>
<td>• Avoids long delays with “big-bang” testing after the “final build”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Bugs found closer to when they are introduced making them easier to fix</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Software Project Risks

- Inherent schedule flaws
- Scope creep
- Employee turnover
- Specification breakdown
- Poor productivity

Source: Agile Project Management – Jim Highsmith, 2004
Agility & Schedule Flaws

Schedule Flaws

- Scope is grossly misestimated or
- Impossible date is mandated

Agility reduces risk by:

- Whole team planning & estimating
- Early feedback on delivery velocity
- Balancing features against schedule
- Keeping the product defect-free

Source: *Agile Project Management* – Jim Highsmith, 2004
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Scope Creep

- Customers change requirements indiscriminately
- Developers change requirements indiscriminately

Agility reduces risk by:

- Communications with customers
- Whole team planning & tracking
- Simple design
- Daily scrum meetings

Source: Agile Project Management – Jim Highsmith, 2004
Employee Turnover

Agility reduces risk by:

• Whole team ownership
• Specializing generalists
• Pair Programming

Source: Agile Project Management – Jim Highsmith, 2004
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Specification Breakdown

Customers fail to agree on specifications:
- Team may get stalled
- Development may need to be backed out
- Code duplication

Agility reduces risk by:
- Product owner as single decision point
- Product owner can halt project

Source: Agile Project Management – Jim Highsmith, 2004
Poor Productivity

Results from 3 main sources

- Wrong people on the bus
- A team that does not work well together
- Poor morale

Agility reduces risk by:

- Hard to hide on an agile bus
- Self-organizing teams
- Feedback loops
- Agile teams are more motivated

Source: Agile Project Management – Jim Highsmith, 2004
Agility & Risk

“The best bang-for-the-buck risk mitigation strategy that we know is incremental delivery.”

Waltzing With Bears
Tom DeMarco & Tim Lister
2003
Agility Introduces New Risks

Too little planning or specification could lead to major rework

- Use “simple design” principles to lower rework costs
- Use test-driven design to reduce risk & rework costs

Overhead of collaboration and feedback could impact project

- Yes, but you save by not doing large up-front work
- Focus on continual process improvement
Agile Applicability

Close To Agreement

Far From Agreement

Requirements

Close To Certainty

Technology

Far From Certainty

Typical Plan-Drive Project

Typical Agile Project
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### Agile Impediments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Impediments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Command and control company culture                | • Customer Ownership  
• Management Commitment  
• Developer Cooperation |
| Lack of trust and honesty within company           | • You can’t hide on an agile project  
• Agility will reveal company dysfunction |
| Simple low-risk projects or complete anarchy       | • Overkill for simple projects  
• Nothing can save you from complete anarchy |
| Regulatory Governance:                             | • ISO9000  
• FDA  
• SOX |
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## Agile Success Factors

| Servant leadership & team empowerment                  | Trust, honesty & transparency |
|                                                      | Ability to face and “handle the truth” |
|                                                      | Bottom-up and top-down commitment to agility |

| Motivated and skilled teams                           | Training, coaching, mentoring |
|                                                      | Commitment to teamwork |
|                                                      | Egoless engagement |

| Complex projects with business value                  | Agility manages risk and complexity |
|                                                      | Consider ROI and P&L project tracking |

| Willingness to “embrace change”                      | Courage |
|                                                      | Creativity |
|                                                      | Openness to new ways of working |
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## Agile Myths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Myth</th>
<th>Reality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No discipline (just hacking)</td>
<td><strong>False</strong> Agile practices require more discipline. Delivering working S/W every week takes considerable discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No documentation</td>
<td><strong>False</strong> Stakeholders often find more effective investments. An agile team will make documentation costs explicit. Focus on stable concepts; avoid volatile concepts like requirements. More documentation likely increases the chance of project failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No planning</td>
<td><strong>False</strong> Continual just-in-time planning. Agility focuses on self-organizing teams that plan just enough to focus on business value and to get the job done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No scalability</td>
<td><strong>False</strong> Most literature focuses on small teams. Agile practices can and do scale both in locality and scope: e.g. IBM Eclipse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another Fad</td>
<td><strong>False</strong> Has crossed the chasm and is becoming mainstream. 45% of companies have adopted one or more agile methods. 65% have adopted one or more agile practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No predictability</td>
<td><strong>False</strong> Nature of predictability shifts Agile teams will predictably deliver high quality S/W and the highest possible business value.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Predictability

The Iron Triangle

Scope
(Features, Functionality)

Quality

Resources
(Cost, Budget)

Schedule
(Time)

Source: http://www.ambysoft.com/essays/brokenTriangle.html
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Predictability

• There is a false sense of predictability when companies do not honor the iron triangle

• Agility provides increased predictability through the project life cycle where traditional projects have decreasing predictability

• Agility reliably predicts that the maximum business value will be produced within a given time-frame

Source: http://www.ambysoft.com/essays/brokenTriangle.html
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Fixed Price Work

• Suffers from the delusion that stakeholders know what want and that requirements can be effectively captured, recorded, understood and fixed at the start of a project

• Requires risk mitigation through padding
  – Increases overall costs to stakeholders
  – Projects always expand to fill the padding

• Encourages costly change-control procedures

• Encourages team to build to spec rather than what stakeholders actually need

Source: http://www.ambysoft.com/essays/brokenTriangle.html
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Quoting Fixed Price Contracts

• Estimate as traditional projects
• Use a mix of T&M with fixed price
• Vary scope and/or schedule
• Add terms for additions and then allow customer to drop “fixed” requirements and grow the additional budget accordingly

Source: http://www.ambysoft.com/essays/brokenTriangle.html
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Managing Fixed Price Contracts

• Use estimate ranges
  – accuracy shifts with planning horizon
• Split large requirements into smaller pieces
• Update estimates continuously through project
• People who do the work should own estimates
• Estimate with whole team

Source: http://www.ambysoft.com/essays/brokenTriangle.html
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Agility at Microsoft

- Visual Studio Team Foundation Server supports agile
- Process Templates available for agile
- April 2007 released eScrum a web-based Scrum tool
- Internal teams have adopted and continue to adopt agile practices

Quality Improvement Using Test Driven Development

- Networking: 260%
- MSN: 420%
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Wrap Up

• Agility can deliver better ROI, time-to-market, quality and reduce risk
• Agility is hard work - requires discipline
• Agility can help almost all software companies – probably yours!
• Agility is not binary
  – a little is better than none
• Greatest impediment is company culture
• Greatest success factor is company culture
Next Steps

• Attend agile events planned by Communitech
  – December 17th, Scott Ambler
  – Other events in 2008 being planned

• Encourage staff to educate themselves on agility
  – see reading list and web sites

• Identify pilot project in your organization
  – Should be risky and of high value
  – Have team self-select for project

• Train team on agile practices

• Just do it!
Agile Reading List

• *Agile Project Management*
  Jim Highsmith; 2004

• *Lean Software Development*
  Mary & Tom Poppendieck; 2003

• *Extreme Programming Explained 2nd Edition*
  Kent Beckk, Cynthia Andres; 2004

• *Agile Software Development with Scrum*
  Ken Schwaber, Mike Beedle; 2002
Agile Web Sites

- www.agilemanifesto.com
- www.agilealliance.org
- www.ambysoft.com
- www.scrumalliance.org
- www.xprogramming.com
- www.agileadvice.com
Panel Discussion

Ray Simonson
*Software Innovation*

Lance Mohring
*emedia interactive*

Ed Bianchin
*Virtek*

Jeff Fedor
*aideRSS*

Jeff Berardine
*Innosphere*

Declan Whelan
*Whelan & Associates*